

## **Which Law for You?**

Preached first on 5/17/2020 on [www.molibertyradio.us](http://www.molibertyradio.us)

Good morning everyone. I want to say thank you again for listening each week. Thank you for those who continue to support this ministry and the war that we are - and I have to tell you - still in.

The appeals court reversed their mind this week and decided to let the trials in the lower court continue.

I guess the thing that bothers me the most is - well me. I can't believe I actually thought those men in Springfield would do the right thing. When I saw they had posted a preliminary writ and did so - so quickly - I thought this was the end. So, I have to apologize for allowing my eagerness to have this behind us - get in the way of reality.

The judge, Mark A. Stephens - and of course - that is not the name on his birth certificate - but it is the name he puts on everything I've seen from him - filed written suggestions as to why the writ should not issue.

But before I begin, I want to tell you something I forgot to tell you last week.

I woke up one day last week and I know I had the corona virus. I woke up and had a cough. And I coughed for almost a whole day. But I went into the vitamin / herb cabinet we have and I took one thousand milligrams of vitamin c and a couple tablets of grapefruit seed extract. I did that in the morning and I did it again later that evening.

Then, the next morning, I did it again. I am so glad because I know I had that virus and I'm pretty sure I almost died. I mean, I coughed. I coughed more than once or twice - I was sick. I know I had that virus and I probably should have died from it last week - but I made it through. But I've got to tell you, those couple days where I had a mild cough - boy - they were really rough. I was coughing. I almost made Teresa and Chrissy wear masks but I just thought I should just suffer instead of making them wear a mask. I was really sacrificing for them - but I did it. I know, I know, that was probably against the rules - I should have made them stay in their rooms and wear masks - you know - so I wouldn't get sicker - but I just decided if this was my time to go - then why put them out any? Right? It turns out - I am a hero!

So I had that great victory last week and I meant to tell you about it - but my near death

experience was over-shadowed by what I mistakenly thought was a quote “court” in America doing the right thing.

Well, anyway, Mark A. Stephens filed his suggestions as to why the writ should not issue. And here is what he said - this is his opening paragraph:

*“On 11/27/2019, during a short hearing on the issue of what the name the Court would consider to be the Relators legal name, I ruled that the Relators legal name in the State of Missouri is Charles L. “JOHNSON.” This ruling was based at least in part, on my erroneous statement to the Relator, that the common law is not a recognized method in the State of Missouri to “lawfully and legally” change a name.”*

After I read his opening paragraph, where he actually admitted that his statement was erroneous - I thought - “Is he really admitting that he was wrong?”

He said his statement was “erroneous.” Now, does “erroneous” mean something different in their language? Now if you go to the peon dictionary that people like you and I use, the definition in Webster's is:

*containing or characterized by **error** : MISTAKEN*

Seems obvious enough. Simple and clear. Made a mistake.

If you go to Black's Law Dictionary, you find Erroneous to be:

*Involving error; deviating from the law. This term is not generally used as designating a corrupt or evil act.*

Ok. So a mistake. Not a corrupt or evil mistake, just a mistake. Fine.

And since he is admitting that his ruling or his statement, was erroneous, let's go down a little further to Black's definition of erroneous judgement.

*One rendered according to course and practice of court, but contrary to law upon mistaken view of law, or upon erroneous application of legal principles.*

So in paragraph one, he states that his original statement to me was erroneous - based on mistaken view of law, or upon erroneous application of legal principles. And yes, you better believe it, that was what he did.

Rather than leave it at that, he begins his next paragraph.

However,

In other words, I made an erroneous statement, but I'm sticking by it and I want to persuade you to accept my erroneous statement.

*“However, for the reasons set forth below, my erroneous ruling does not require either a preliminary writ or permanent writ of prohibition in this case because even though Relator may have a common law right to change his name, he does not have a common law right to automatically force the Courts of this State or any Federal, State or local government entity to recognize his common law name change.”*

Let's digest this for just a minute. He has admitted two times in his opening statement that his ruling was based on erroneous application of the law. Two times, in his opening statement, he says his ruling was based on having incorrect knowledge or understanding of law. But, quote:

*“The issue of whether or not a person may or may not utilize an alleged common law name change as a defense to alleged criminal charges has not yet been ruled on below and respectfully, while it is an interesting question of law it is not ripe for ruling by this Court at this time.”* End quote.

And apparently, on that basis, the court decided to allow this to continue.

Teresa and I were talking this week and were wondering just what type of response they would make. The first thing that came to Teresa's mind was that they would immediately try to slander me, make me look as bad as they could to prejudice men against me from the outset. And, beginning with his “Background” paragraph, he immediately lists all the fourteen charges against me.

It doesn't matter that my original writ was simply for two of the fourteen - he thought it best to list all 14.

So, what will we do next? Lay down and die? No. Absolutely not. I have been working on a document where I will ask them to reconsider their denial. And of course, I absolutely believe they will deny me. What else should I expect?

Mr. Stephens was “demanded” by the appeals court, that he answer quote “all the

allegations raised by the Relator.”

And that, he did not do. There is a Missouri statue, and you might immediately say, “Charlie, what are the statues to you?” And that's fair. I would hope that after listening to my messages - one would ask - “Why would you reference their statues?” Fair. I have preached against their statues and will do so until I die.

Would you turn with me this morning to the book of Ezra. Let's go to chapter four and begin reading in verse 1. In this history of our founding fathers, we find one of the few instances where our founding fathers were actually acting they way Yahweh wanted them to act. They were actually obeying Yahweh - and you call it what you want - but the truth is - they were in the process of building a Biblical Ecclesia. Verse 1, chapter 4.

[1] Now when the adversaries of Judah and Benjamin heard that the children of the captivity builded the temple unto Yahweh God of Israel;

Alright. Stop for a minute. We are not supposed to be building temples today. The earthly temple they were building was for the Old Covenant World. But, the historical record provided in the Old Covenant World is where we glean principles for application today in the New Covenant World. It is the principles - not the historical facts and details that apply today. The principle to learn here is that they were building. It's not what they were building - it is that they were building. In the New Covenant World - we are supposed to be building Ecclesia - the Kingdom of God. Verse 2.

[2] Then they came to Zerubbabel, and to the chief of the fathers, and said unto them, Let us build with you:

Remember now, these were the adversaries of Judah and Benjamin who said “Let us build with you.” We will see in a few minutes that Zerrubabel and Jeshua decline their offer. We see, here, the utter and complete opposite of the principle here - in the quote “churches” in America. “Let us build with you,” said the quote “government” of the U.S. “We will give you tax-deductions and tax-deductible gifts, we want to help you build - your quote “churches.” The true men of God say NO. The imposters say SURE.

for we seek your God, as ye do; and we do sacrifice unto him since the days of Esar-haddon king of Assur, which brought us up hither.

[3] But Zerubbabel, and Jeshua, and the rest of the chief of the fathers of Israel, said unto them, Ye have nothing to do with us to build an house unto our God; but we ourselves together will build unto Yahweh God of Israel, as king Cyrus the

king of Persia hath commanded us.

Alright. The reality is here - not double-speak - but simply God's men were telling their adversaries to obey the words of their king - to obey their own law. The adversaries of Judah and Benjamin had no idea that Cyrus was not in control of his words - but nonetheless - knowing that their adversaries truly cared not for Yahweh and His commandments - they told them to obey their own laws. The decree from Cyrus to build the city is found in II Chronicles 36:22 and it says this:

Now in the first year of Cyrus king of Persia, that the word of Yahweh spoken by the mouth of Jeremiah might be accomplished, Yahweh stirred up the spirit of Cyrus king of Persia, that he made a proclamation throughout all his kingdom, and put it also in writing, saying,

[23] Thus saith Cyrus king of Persia, All the kingdoms of the earth hath Yahweh God of heaven given me; and he hath charged me to build him an house in Jerusalem, which is in Judah. Who is there among you of all his people? Yahweh his God be with him, and let him go up.

Yahweh forced Cyrus to make this decree. The decree was on the books of the adversaries and when the adversaries came against Judah and Benjamin - they used it against them. Nonetheless, the story continues, verse 4 of Ezra 4.

[4] Then the people of the land weakened the hands of the people of Judah, and troubled them in building,

[5] And hired counsellors against them, to frustrate their purpose, all the days of Cyrus king of Persia, even until the reign of Darius king of Persia.

They hired lawyers to try to force them from their work. I have to believe this battle was hard on these men and their families as they tried to obey God while living amongst people who refuse to bow the knee to the God of heaven. But, they persevered. They did not give up. They did not give in.

[6] And in the reign of Ahasuerus, in the beginning of his reign, wrote they unto him an accusation against the inhabitants of Judah and Jerusalem.

Here it comes. The lawyers now trying to stir up the earthly king against the people of Yahweh. So they write up an accusation against God's people. Finally, God's people were being obedient. They were doing what Yahweh wanted them to do - yet they found themselves being the objects of an accusation designed to bring the wrath of the

earthly king against them to force them to stop their building.

[7] And in the days of Artaxerxes wrote Bishlam, Mithredath, Tabeel, and the rest of their companions, unto Artaxerxes king of Persia; and the writing of the letter was written in the Syrian tongue, and interpreted in the Syrian tongue.

[8] Rehum the chancellor and Shimshai the scribe wrote a letter against Jerusalem to Artaxerxes the king in this sort:

[9] Then wrote Rehum the chancellor, and Shimshai the scribe, and the rest of their companions; the Dinaites, the Apharsathchites, the Tarpelites, the Apharsites, the Archevites, the Babylonians, the Susanchites, the Dehavites, and the Elamites,

[10] And the rest of the nations whom the great and noble Asnappar brought over, and set in the cities of Samaria, and the rest that are on this side the river, and at such a time.

[11] This is the copy of the letter that they sent unto him, even unto Artaxerxes the king; Thy servants the men on this side the river, and at such a time.

[12] Be it known unto the king, that the Jews which came up from thee to us are come unto Jerusalem, building the rebellious and the bad city, and have set up the walls thereof, and joined the foundations.

[13] Be it known now unto the king, that, if this city be builded, and the walls set up again, then will they not pay toll, tribute, and custom, and so thou shalt endamage the revenue of the kings.

I want you to hear me well this morning. Obedience to Yahweh is going to be referred to as rebellion in the eyes of the world. When Kelsey Rutledge arrested me, he told me, "I arrested you because of the books on your website." The specific book he referred to was "They All Call Him King." And in that book I make the statement that "If the world considers obeying God rebellion, then so be it." Obeying God is not rebellion. Especially in the New Covenant World. Follow this, now. In the Old Covenant world, I can see how it would be a justifiable statement to say that following Yahweh was rebellion to earthly kings. In the Old Covenant World - the Scriptures clearly say that Yahweh set up all the governments - the good and the bad - and set up all the kings - the good and the bad - so at times where God's people refused to obey the kings that Yahweh set up - those acts could be considered rebellion.

But not so in the New Covenant World. It is impossible to obey Yahweh - and be found rebellious. The Kingdom of God is the ONLY legitimate, ordained, Government allowed to be in existence. Anything, or anyone who sets up a government that is not the Kingdom of God - does so out of complete rebellion to the King. God does not set up

governments - little g - any longer. The little g governments that exist in the New Covenant World do so out of complete and utter defiance to the King. The sooner God's people realize this, the better things will be and we have a fighting chance to oppose the enemies of God and their agenda.

Listen to me brothers and sisters - those that have called themselves quote "government" today - are finally fully revealing themselves - for what I've been saying for over 35 years now - I've been mocked - I've been snickered at - I've been the subject of the eye-roll for a long time - now we are seeing the full revelation of the beast enemy of God. And don't you dare allow someone in your presence to say that we are seeing the fulfillment of Bible prophecy today. This is NOT God's fault. This is our fault. We should have been standing against the little g governments of this world our entire lives - instead - we refused to stand against their wicked ways years ago - generations ago - and now - the beast boy that should have been beaten down and humbled before Almighty God has grown into a full-fledged all consuming, never having enough, blood-thirsty out of control monster. And it is not God's fault.

He did not plan this. Forced vaccination at the barrel end of the army's gun - by the end of this year - is not the plan of God. God did not plan this. This is not the fulfillment of Bible prophecy. And these false prophets that continue to preach rapture are liars, deceivers and agents for the enemies of God. And, sadly, we are caught up in it because we refused - either out of ignorance - or our own rebellion - to follow the example of our forefathers in Ezra 4 and 5 to build the city of our God and live in it.

Somehow, we have deemed the treasures of Egypt more valuable than the treasures of Christ and since Egypt wasn't really so intolerable - we became docile and complacent and even accepting and excusing our failure to build upon the foundations of the Holy Nation established in I Peter chapter 2 and called the commonwealth of Israel in Ephesians 2.

It was at one time, I guess, tolerable for almost everyone to be considered U.S. Citizens - and now that the requirements of being obedient citizens has become unbearable - we want to blame God for it and say it's the fulfillment of the prophecies.

You better mark my words and hear me this morning. Anyone that says that the coming vaccine is the quote "mark of the beast" from Revelation 13:18 - and I don't care how nice they are - I don't care how lily white they are - if they are telling you we are in the days of fulfilling Matthew 24 - **THEY ARE THE ENEMIES OF GOD.**

When you tell someone we are in the days of the fulfilling of Matthew 24 - you are telling people to sit back and make the best of it - because these days were planned before the foundation of the world and nothing is going to stop it.

Quote unquote “churches” being angry about turning over their members names who come to their buildings. Give me a break. Those people have registered every single thing they have with the government. Their property, their cars, for goodness sake - their children - they've registered every single aspect of their lives - now - they want to complain about the government registering their attendance in their church buildings? Give me a break. They should have refused every single form of registration years ago. Had they refused in the small things - we wouldn't be facing this out of control monster today.

But, no, our devilish form of theology that we have created for ourselves - beginning with the absolute demonic concept of that thing called - church - then that wicked establishment of little g government created by the capital C-capital-O-capital-N stitution whereby men stuck their fists in the face of God and said OUR LAWS, and OUR TREATIES shall BE THE SUPREME LAW OF THE LAND - and now that we find ourselves being forced to wear masks, take injections, get micro-chipped - and the first thing they do is blame God and say this is what He planned before the foundation of the world.

I am so delighted to receive emails from so many people who tell me they refuse to wear the masks - and they continue to live life as normally as they possibly can. And that's great to here they are resisting tyranny as much as they can. But friends, the reality is still - if we were living and building the Kingdom / Government of God as commanded by the Word of God - I believe we would not be facing what we are facing today.

I've talked about the commonwealth of Israel now for over 30 years. I've pleaded and begged for Ecclesia - you can find my writings on my website - going all the way back to the 1980s. The plan of God is not what we see today. The plan of God is for His people to build Ecclesia and be a light to a dark world, a city on a hill. Surprisingly enough, after talking about this for so many years, I thought, What would I find if I typed in, 'How hard is it to become a nation today?'" Go ahead and do it yourself. You'll most likely see the headline:

*How to become a country in 3 easy steps.*

When I saw that a few weeks ago, I just laughed. Even the world says it's quote “easy.”



When you click it, it says, you need quote "laws" - a quote "government" and quote "territory." Hmm. You mean Ecclesia qualifies? Even today? In today's world?

I've probably told you this before, and if so, bare with me because it's important. Several years ago, my wife and children and I were in a meeting with a quote "civil rights attorney." And I was telling him how we lived. I told him about the commonwealth of Israel and trying to live by the Laws of God and wanting to be the nation of God, etc.

At least in that instant, he was not mocking me, he was not laughing at me. I have no idea what he did when I left, but he did not mock me to my face. On the contrary, he acted genuinely interested. When I finished, he said to me, "You know, there is international law that would protect your nation." Don't get me wrong - I don't really care about that - but that's what he said. Then he said, "So are you telling me that there are others who live and believe the way you do?" I said, "Yes, of course." He acted a little excited about what I said. Then he said, "And you all live in the same general area?" I said, "Well, no, that's kind of the problem." His quick short answer was, "Yeah, forget that idea."

Yeah. We have called ourselves quote "churches" - then when that error is pointed out - some people are quick to rename their quote "churches" as Ecclesias. That doesn't cut it. Ecclesias are people who live in the same general area - territory you might call it - they have their own Laws - capital L - and they have their own Government - capital G. That's what Ecclesia is. That's what and how God said He would move in the New Covenant World and we aren't doing it and we sit back and ask, "Where is God?" Why does God not move on our behalf today?

Point the finger at me, go ahead. "Charlie, you say you are trying to live the commonwealth of Israel right now - and all you have is trouble." Well, yeah. The commonwealth of Israel - the Kingdom of God - the Ecclesia - is not a lone ranger organization. It's not meant to be. Yeshua was the - and I hope this doesn't come out wrong - Yeshua was the long ranger. He was the lone Saviour. He was the lone, the Only One capable of conquering kingdoms and dominions - by Himself. Then He set up His Ecclesia. People, a body, fitly joined together for a purpose. It takes both arms, both legs, both eyes (sorry Ted) - it's not meant to be one man here, one woman there, it's meant to be a body.

One man here and one woman there - is easy pickins'. Easy targets for the enemies of God. It's not meant to be so. But, when there is no Ecclesia - God's men must still live as

if there is one. And when you do, rest assured, you are going to be labeled as rebellious. Ezra 4:14.

[14] Now because we have maintenance from the king's palace, and it was not meet for us to see the king's dishonour, therefore have we sent and certified the king;

[15] That search may be made in the book of the records of thy fathers: so shalt thou find in the book of the records, and know that this city is a rebellious city, and hurtful unto kings and provinces, and that they have moved sedition within the same of old time: for which cause was this city destroyed.

[16] We certify the king that, if this city be builded again, and the walls thereof set up, by this means thou shalt have no portion on this side the river.

It doesn't take 4 years of Bible college to see how that description fits exactly with what would happen if God's people were as obedient today as they were in those days. But at least those accusations would be against the body of Christ - the complete body of Christ - as opposed to a single chopped off toe or finger somewhere. Verse 17.

[17] Then sent the king an answer unto Rehum the chancellor, and to Shimshai the scribe, and to the rest of their companions that dwell in Samaria, and unto the rest beyond the river, Peace, and at such a time.

[18] The letter which ye sent unto us hath been plainly read before me.

[19] And I commanded, and search hath been made, and it is found that this city of old time hath made insurrection against kings, and that rebellion and sedition have been made therein.

[20] There have been mighty kings also over Jerusalem, which have ruled over all countries beyond the river; and toll, tribute, and custom, was paid unto them.

[21] Give ye now commandment to cause these men to cease, and that this city be not builded, until another commandment shall be given from me.

[22] Take heed now that ye fail not to do this: why should damage grow to the hurt of the kings?

This is what the enemies of Christ do with their little g governments. They start out by passing little, harmless decrees, and because the naive people have been told that God said they are to obey earthly governments, they go along. I mean, really, what's the harm? Besides, God said to quote "Obey the laws of the land." Then, the beast gains another inch and another inch and another inch. We've heard it all our lives.

Place a frog in the water and he sits there. Gradually turn up the heat and he'll finally

allow himself to be cooked. We've heard that. We've talked about it in our analogies and stories - yet - we ourselves have allowed it to happen and we didn't see it happen in our lives.

Eh, what's a birth certificate? It's just a piece of paper, right? It doesn't mean anything. I can have it and it doesn't cause me any problems with my Lord. It isn't a quote "salvation" issue. Really? It's ridiculous to even talk about it. Yeah. The world says:

*A birth certificate is a [vital record](#) that documents the [birth of a person](#).*

Sure. That's certainly harmless enough. No big deal.

*...it's a small paper but it actually establishes who you are and gives access to the rights and the privileges, and the obligations, of citizenship.*

Our citizenship is supposed to be in the commonwealth of Israel. Someone, anyone, please show me from the Word of God where God's people are supposed to be flag-waving, citizens of the world. It is not there. We are commanded NOT to be citizens of the world - and we are mandated to be citizens of the commonwealth of Israel.

The Bible is a book about Israel. From cover to cover - that's the nation of God. And it is by and through religions that we created - belief systems that resemble absolutely nothing found in Scripture - we have convinced ourselves that we can carry cards in our billfolds and get papers for our children that identify us as citizens of the world - and God looks the other way.

It's weird to me. It's as if the book was all about Israel up until the time of Christ in the first century - then magically - every man just kind of went his own way - to his own nation - to his own little government - in their own lands and territories - and they created religions that have eliminated the Israel of God from their creations.

Then, you have a group of people who don't even believe that Jesus/Yeshua was the Christ - and for all intents and purposes - they are the only thing on earth that people think is Israel. That is weird. That is the strangest thing I've ever heard. American christians, Canadian christians, British christians. So odd sounding to me. No, they are American churchians. Canadian churchians, British churchians. The people of God are supposed to be the commonwealth of Israel. That's what Christians are - the commonwealth of Israel.

Whether a descendant from Judah or Benjamin in the flesh or not - they are - at the very least graft into the commonwealth of Israel. Now ye are one in Christ. Our worldly citizenship has been the cause of ALL our failures. Our worldly citizenship is the source of all the pain and misery that we either suffer now - or soon will be. If you think this corona virus garbage is just going to fade away - well - I hope - I really do - I hope you are right - but I'm not seeing it.

I am seeing resistance growing. And that's a good thing as far as I'm concerned - even though it may not be Godly resistance - it still is resistance and maybe we can reach some of those resisters, who knows?

But it seems like the more people that are waking up to this phony plandemic - the deeper entrenched the maniacs in control are getting. I heard with my own ears say Trump say that he is right now - mobilizing the military to prepare to vaccinate 300,000,000 quote "Americans" and do so before the end of this year. Look, I'm sick about this. I've got sons and daughters who have been recently married and they just want to live and have babies and enjoy life - but that's not in the plans right now. There are unbelievable wicked, evil men and women who have other designs for people who live on the land they call America - and sadly - we live on that same land - and have done nothing to separate ourselves from these maniacs.

Let's skip down to the end of Ezra 5, no, let's quickly read the rest of chapter 4 and 5. Verse 23, chapter 4.

[23] Now when the copy of king Artaxerxes' letter was read before Rehum, and Shimshai the scribe, and their companions, they went up in haste to Jerusalem unto the Jews, and made them to cease by force and power.

[24] Then ceased the work of the house of God which is at Jerusalem. So it ceased unto the second year of the reign of Darius king of Persia.

## **Ezra.5**

[1] Then the prophets, Haggai the prophet, and Zechariah the son of Iddo, prophesied unto the Jews that were in Judah and Jerusalem in the name of the God of Israel, even unto them.

[2] Then rose up Zerubbabel the son of Shealtiel, and Jeshua the son of Jozadak, and began to build the house of God which is at Jerusalem: and with them were the prophets of God helping them.

[3] At the same time came to them Tatnai, governor on this side the river, and Shethar-boznai, and their companions, and said thus unto them, Who hath

commanded you to build this house, and to make up this wall?

[4] Then said we unto them after this manner, What are the names of the men that make this building?

I have told you that I am going to start putting together a document - I think it will be called the Declaration of Dependence. It will be a Declaration that we have failed in our mission, that we have repented, and that we will begin to build the Kingdom like we were commanded. I'm hoping that other men will put their names on record with me.

[5] But the eye of their God was upon the elders of the Jews, that they could not cause them to cease, till the matter came to Darius: and then they returned answer by letter concerning this matter.

[6] The copy of the letter that Tatnai, governor on this side the river, and Shethar-boznai, and his companions the Apharsachites, which were on this side the river, sent unto Darius the king:

[7] They sent a letter unto him, wherein was written thus; Unto Darius the king, all peace.

[8] Be it known unto the king, that we went into the province of Judea, to the house of the great God, which is builded with great stones, and timber is laid in the walls, and this work goeth fast on, and prospereth in their hands.

[9] Then asked we those elders, and said unto them thus, Who commanded you to build this house, and to make up these walls?

[10] We asked their names also, to certify thee, that we might write the names of the men that were the chief of them.

[11] And thus they returned us answer, saying, We are the servants of the God of heaven and earth, and build the house that was builded these many years ago, which a great king of Israel builded and set up.

[12] But after that our fathers had provoked the God of heaven unto wrath, he gave them into the hand of Nebuchadnezzar the king of Babylon, the Chaldean, who destroyed this house, and carried the people away into Babylon.

[13] But in the first year of Cyrus the king of Babylon the same king Cyrus made a decree to build this house of God.

[14] And the vessels also of gold and silver of the house of God, which Nebuchadnezzar took out of the temple that was in Jerusalem, and brought them into the temple of Babylon, those did Cyrus the king take out of the temple of Babylon, and they were delivered unto one, whose name was Sheshbazzar, whom he had made governor;

[15] And said unto him, Take these vessels, go, carry them into the temple that is in Jerusalem, and let the house of God be builded in his place.

[16] Then came the same Sheshbazzar, and laid the foundation of the house of God which is in Jerusalem: and since that time even until now hath it been in building, and yet it is not finished.

[17] Now therefore, if it seem good to the king, let there be search made in the king's treasure house, which is there at Babylon, whether it be so, that a decree was made of Cyrus the king to build this house of God at Jerusalem, and let the king send his pleasure to us concerning this matter.

I have no problem - at least today - and I am certainly open for discussion - some of you that have written to me know that I am willing to listen to anything you have to say from the Scripture - and if I need to make adjustments to my faith - my system of belief based on the truths of God's Word - I am all ears and ready and willing to conform to all truth. But for today, I have no problem that if they have a quote "law" that I can hold them to - in my fight against them - I'll do it. I think we see from Ezra 4 and 5 that God's men did just that.

In Mark A. Stephens document, he says, that his erroneous ruling should stand because even though a man has a right to change his name - that man doesn't have a right to force the U.S., or the states to recognize that name.

That argument is circular and just plain stupid. If you have a quote "right to change your name" then that is your name. Ridiculous.

So, in my response, which I'm quite certain will be denied and probably without even them reading it - I said, "You may say a man may not force the U.S. government or the state governments to use his name - of course - you may not force any one to use the name you choose" - unless of course you were born as a Mary and you decide later you want to be a boy and you can then force someone to call you - say - Mark or some other male name - so I may not force them to use my name, but what about their own statues?

They have a statue, most of you are actually familiar with it already - but it's called RSMO 545.230. That statue says:

***2012 Missouri Revised Statutes  
TITLE XXXVII CRIMINAL PROCEDURE  
Chapter 545 Proceedings Before Trial  
Section 545.230 Indictment by wrong name.***

**Universal Citation:** *MO Rev Stat § 545.230 (2012)*

***Indictment by wrong name.***

*545.230. If a defendant be indicted by the wrong name, unless he declare his true name before pleading, he shall be proceeded against by the name in the indictment. If he allege that another name is his true name, it must be entered in the minutes of the court; and after such entry, the trial and all other proceedings on the indictment shall be had against him by that name, referring also to the name by which he is indicted, in the same manner, in all respects, and with the same consequences as if he had been indicted by his true name.*

Someone please tell me what I am missing here.

*If he allege that another name is his true name, it must be entered in the minutes of the court; and after such entry, the trial and all other proceedings on the indictment shall be had against him by that name,*

I desperately - yes desperately - would like someone to tell me why those people try to force me to obey their statues - when they themselves refuse to?!?

How much clearer can this be? When they first quote "charged me" back in 2015 - do you know what name was on the document? It was "John Doe." So in their system - they can "charge" "John Doe" and use that in their documents - but the day the man arrested me - I provided him my identification - a commonwealth of Israel ID card that I made - with my name Charlie Steward on the document. I have told them - if it's been a hundred times - it's been a thousand times - my name is Charlie Steward. I actually fulfilled my side of their statue - but they refuse to obey their side.

Who is the criminal here? Listen again, please.

*If he allege that another name is his true name, it must be entered in the minutes of the court; and after such entry, the trial and all other proceedings on the indictment shall be had against him by that name,*

Why will they not obey their own statue?

Is there something sinister going on? Is there something in the dark shadows lurking below that they do not want people to discover? Is it possible that they can only file

quote “charges” against someone who has and uses one of their birth certificates - which when has and uses that document - *establishes the obligations of citizenship?*

What's the big deal? What difference does it make what name is on their charging documents?

In this instance, it makes all the difference in the world. They are trying to say that a man commits felony forgery when he uses a name that is not on a U.S. birth certificate. If they held a trial under the name they were trying to say is felony forgery - how could they possibly get a conviction? So, this judge - who also claims to be a preacher - is working in concert with the prosecution to make sure the jury does not know the law concerning name change. It's so transparent - it's not even funny.

Wicked, evil men conspiring against one man - because that man has chosen a life of following Christ His Lord while living in a nation that despises His God.

Now, there is another issue.

They have been forcing me into hearing after hearing, procedure after procedure - without the aid of counsel. In their system of quote “justice” THEIR supreme rulers have stated that this is wrong. They have said it is the bedrock of their criminal INJUSTICE system - to force a man to trial without the aid of counsel.

Yet, I have been forced - at least 20 times now - to go into their speakings to - without the aid of counsel. On this basis alone - they have lost the ability to prosecute - and that according to their own rules. Of course, I know - I'm not asking you to know - I know this - first hand witness - they have one rule that applies to me - and those rules do not apply to them. Plain and simple. They are lawless. They are without law.

Under their own rules - a man is not even ALLOWED to go into their court without counsel. There is only one way that a man can be haled into court without counsel - and that is with a SIGNED WAIVER OF CONSENT.

Listen closely. Once again, this is their statute:

***2016 Missouri Revised Statutes***

***TITLE XXXVIII CRIMES AND PUNISHMENT; PEACE OFFICERS AND PUBLIC DEFENDERS (556-600)***

***Chapter 600 Public Defenders***

***Section 600.051 Waiver of counsel, when permitted.***

***Universal Citation: MO Rev Stat § 600.051 (2016)***



*600.051. 1. Any judge of a court of competent jurisdiction may permit a waiver of counsel to be filed in any criminal case*

Alright. Plain and simple. The judge MAY PERMIT A WAIVER OF COUNSEL. So, in Missouri, a man cannot be in their court system without counsel - UNLESS - the judge permits a waiver of counsel.

*600.051. 1. Any judge of a court of competent jurisdiction may permit a waiver of counsel to be filed in any criminal case wherein a defendant may receive a jail sentence or confinement if the court first determines that defendant has made a knowledgeable and intelligent waiver of the right to assistance of counsel and the waiver is signed before and witnessed by the judge or clerk of the court, providing further that the waiver contains at least the following information which the defendant has read or which has been read to the defendant before the signing thereof:*

The only way a waiver of counsel may be achieved in Missouri - and all the other quote "states" as well - is when that document is signed before and witnessed by the judge or clerk of the court.

That's their statute and they will not obey it.

I am - by all definitions in their system - poor. I do not have the money to pay for counsel. I have told them this over and over and over. I have filled out their documents - right wrong or indifferent - but I have filled out their documents for counsel and I have been rejected four times.

Mr. Stephens says that I was denied assistance of counsel because it was determined that I was not quote "indigent." This is not true. I was denied assistance of counsel because at one time - for a very brief period of time - I had counsel. A very dear friend of ours sent my wife some money to retain an attorney. When I was unable to continue paying the attorney - she abandoned me. Their own rules say an attorney cannot abandon someone for non-payment - but that's what she did.

But, that's ok. I couldn't pay, so I didn't expect the attorney to do anything.

The fact remains, still, I do not have the money to pay an attorney. They held a sham hearing they called an "indigency hearing" and the judge ruled I was not quote "indigent" - but at that hearing - the public defender's office said in court - it was not a matter of quote "indigency" it was that I had an attorney at one time - and that disqualifies someone from the public defender.

Fine. I don't want them anyway. They denied me 4 times. Who in their right mind would want counsel from someone who denied them 4 times? Their law does not say that they have to provide assistance of counsel exclusively from the public defender's office. They should provide someone else.

Well, they haven't and they won't. So, they should be forced to dismiss because they have forced me into hearings and proceedings without counsel. But, of course, they won't because they have statues they force people onto - but they themselves will not obey them.

This concept is as old as can be. Turn with me to Galatians chapter 6. For sake of time, we'll not start in verse one, but rather, go to verse 12, please:

[12] As many as desire to make a fair shew in the flesh, they constrain you to be circumcised; only lest they should suffer persecution for the cross of Christ.

[13] For neither they themselves who are circumcised keep the law; but desire to have you circumcised, that they may glory in your flesh.

That's the same principle here. They are trying to force me to keep their quote "law" but they refuse to keep their own "law."

I need to apologize to one of my longtime listeners who sent me a letter last week with a series of questions. I felt it best to answer the questions in my message because I thought the answers would be good for everyone to hear. I did not get that done last week. And I didn't have time this week. So, please be patient with me. I will, Lord willing, get that done next week.

I would ask you to please continue praying for my family. My wife and children have suffered greatly from this war. The ups and downs, the thought of victory only to be revealed for what it really was - has taken its toll on them.

I am angry that I have daughters that woke up one morning to men with guns hovering over them in their beds. You Dads must know the feelings you would have if things like this happened to your children. It's beyond description the emotions and feelings that come over you. As adult men - we can handle these things much better than our precious children - especially our baby daughters. How evil. Wicked. Pure scum these men are who do these things to God's precious children.

Thank you again for those of you who continue to support this ministry. I just can't tell you enough what a blessing you are.

I am going to end this message a little early today - mainly because of the whirlwind week we have had - and quite frankly - I feel like I've used every ounce of energy I could muster today in delivering this message. I'm not discouraged. Mentally and spiritually I'd actually say I more determined than ever. But physically, I'm just a little in need of a recharged battery. There are those out there who are holding up the arms and I so greatly appreciate it. Continue prayers! Continue to seek guidance for how we need to begin building Ecclesia - sooner - maybe even much sooner than I originally thought.

Please make plans to attend Kingdom Conference 2020.

